

# 2011 Review Panel Evaluation Template - Page 1

The template below was used in 2011 and may be modified for use in 2013.

## Instructions to Reviewers: Insert Data only in Yellow Boxes

	Principal Investigator:	Review Team A		Reviewer:	Number 4
--	-------------------------	---------------	--	-----------	----------

<b>Rating Values</b> - Use only values shown in the table					
	10	Outstanding Score - Highly Meritorious - Recommend Funding - No serious Flaws			
	8	High Score - Meritorious - Recommend Funding with Minor Modifications			
	6	Meritorious - Has deficiencies that possibly could be fixed with more work / resources / staffing / .....			
	4	Project overall has significant deficiencies that require restructuring to be competitive in a national arena			
	2	Project has overall deficiencies that require major modifications to be competitive			
	0	Non-responsive to the goals of this competition			

		<u>Review Categories</u>	<u>Reviewer Rating</u>	<u>Weighting Factor</u>	<u>Score = (Rating * Weighting)</u>	<u>Comments</u>
A.		Scientific and / or Technical Merit of the Project	6	30	180	Comment, comment, comment....
	1	Research topic likely to be priority research area (present or in near future) in DOE research portfolio				
	2	Scientific / Engineering research developing new knowledge versus refinement, demonstration or deployment of existing technology				

## 2011 Review Panel Evaluation Template - Page 2

	3	Project is a significant advancement of state-of-the-art in the research area				
	4	Research results would lead to commercialization and deployment after further development				
B.	Appropriateness of the Proposed Method or Approach		6	25	150	Comment, comment, comment....
	1	Is the general approach/ research plan likely to lead to the outcomes proposed for the project				
	2	Are the research methods /proposed procedures appropriate for the general research plan				
	3	Are the researchers realistic in their assessment of the effort or time required to execute the plan of research				
C.	Competency of Personnel / Adequacy of Resources		6	15	90	Comment, comment, comment....
	1	How adequately are the research team members prepared to accomplish the proposed work				
	2	Does the research leadership team have sufficient expertise to manage the project				
	3	Does the research team have necessary facilities and equipment or can they procure or have access to the necessary facilities to accomplish the plan of research				

## 2011 Review Panel Evaluation Template - Page 3

	4	Has the Technical Principal Investigator demonstrated sufficient experience / achievements to be accepted by external reviewers as being competent to manage the research program				
D.	Reasonableness and Appropriateness of the Proposed Budget		6	12	72	Comment, comment, comment....
	1	Is the allocation of funding requested appropriate for the level of work proposed (				
	2	Is the level of effort allocated for investigators sufficient to accomplish the proposed work				
E.	Synergism among Investigators / Programmatic Focus / Integrated Program		6	10	60	Comment, comment, comment....
	1	Is there a coherent thematic plan that is collectively followed by the research team members				
	2	Is the proposed management structure sufficient to ensure an integration of the work of individual investigators				
	3	Have the investigators demonstrated their ability to work in teams, especially with the team members proposed				
F.	Likelihood of Success of the Implementation Grant		6	8	48	Comment, comment, comment....
	1	How well does the application fit with WV EPSCoR's plan for research infrastructure development				

## 2011 Review Panel Evaluation Template - Page 4

	2	Does the team have or will they recruit outstanding faculty within the scope of the proposed research				
	3	Does the team have or will they recruit outstanding graduate students or postdoctoral staff				
	4	Has the team developed or will they develop unique infrastructure; or are they making or planning to make unique contributions to DOE experiments or facilities				
	5	Has the team have plans to be or are they on track to be in a sustained leadership position in their disciplines				
	6	Will the team or WV EPSCoR effectively leverage DOE funding and capabilities with local and regional resources to maximize the long term impact of the award				
		<b>Total Score</b>		<b>600</b>	<b>(Maximum Score = 1,000)</b>	

Please provide additional comments in the yellow box below. Is there industry significant involvement. How do you rate the proposal overall now that you have commented on the individual categories. What suggestions do you have for improving the proposal. Can this project be merged with other projects in the suite of submitted concept proposals. Can you recommend the addition of other research team members or areas of expertise.

Comment, comment, comment ....